ON INVARIANTS AND FORBIDDEN SETS

FRANK J. PALLADINO

ABSTRACT. We introduce six new algebraic invariants for rational difference equations. We use these invariants to perform a reduction of order in each case. This reduction of order allows us to find forbidden sets in each case. These six cases include two linear fractional rational difference equations of order greater than one. In all six cases, we give a closed form solution for all initial conditions which are not in the forbidden set. In all six cases, the initial conditions and parameters are assumed to be arbitrary complex numbers.

1. Introduction

Invariants have been used in several cases to provide insight into the behavior of rational difference equations, see [1]-[4], [6]-[10], [12]-[14], [16]-[22], [24], [25], and [38]-[40]. In this article, we introduce six new algebraic invariants for six second order rational difference equations. Two of the six second order rational difference equations are linear fractional rational difference equations. We use these invariants to perform a reduction of order in each case. This reduction of order allows us to find forbidden sets in each case. In each case, the second order rational difference equation is transformed, via the invariant, to a family of first order linear fractional rational difference equations. Since both the forbidden set, and the closed form solution are known for first order linear fractional rational difference equations, we use this information to find the forbidden set and closed form solution for the equations given in Section 4. For the reader's convenience, the forbidden set and closed form solution for first order linear fractional rational difference equations are presented in Section 3. In all six cases, we give a closed form solution for all initial conditions which are not in the forbidden set. In all six cases, the initial conditions and parameters are assumed to be arbitrary complex numbers. In Section 2, we give some background information on some well known examples of invariants and forbidden sets for rational difference equations.

2. Background on invariants and forbidden sets for rational difference equations

When building an understanding of invariants for rational difference equations it is helpful to use the following equations as prototypes:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + x_n}{x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (1)

Date: April 1, 2010.

 $1991\ Mathematics\ Subject\ Classification.\ 39A10, 39A11.$

Key words and phrases. difference equation, invariant, forbidden set, closed form solution.

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + x_n + x_{n-1}}{x_{n-2}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots,$$
 (2)

with $\alpha > 0$ and positive initial conditions. Equation (1) is known by the cognomen Lyness' equation. Equation (2) is known by the cognomen Todd's equation and has also been referred to as the third order Lyness' recurrence, see for example [8]. Equations (1) and (2) are discussed in the following references: [2], [4], [6]-[8], [12], [13], [15]-[26], and [38]-[40]. In [19] it is first shown for Equations (1) and (2) that we have an algebraic invariant in each case, particularly for Equation (1) we have:

$$(\alpha + x_{n-1} + x_n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}} \right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_n} \right) = constant.$$

For Equation (2) we have the algebraic invariant,

$$(\alpha + x_{n-2} + x_{n-1} + x_n) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_n}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_{n-1}}\right) \left(1 + \frac{1}{x_{n-2}}\right) = constant.$$

Now, in the case of Equation (1), it has been shown in the unpublished paper of Zeeman [40] that the map induced by Equation (1) is conjugated to a rotation. Moreover, in [8], it has been shown that the three dimensional case given by Equation (2) is also conjugated to a rotation. It has been shown, see [40] and [8], that in both Equations (1) and (2), the phase space of the associated dynamical system is foliated by invariant curves. These invariant curves, which comprise the leaves of the foliation, are algebraic curves which degenerate into isolated points in some places.

The Lyness invariants can be generalized for the following k^{th} order rational difference equation, sometimes called the k^{th} order Lyness equation:

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} x_{n-i}}{x_{n-k}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$
 (3)

with $\alpha \geq 0$ and positive initial conditions. With this generalization we obtain the following algebraic invariant:

$$\left(\prod_{i=0}^{k} \left(\frac{1}{x_{n-i}} + 1\right)\right) \left(\alpha + \sum_{i=0}^{k} x_{n-i}\right) = constant.$$

Significant work has been done on this equation, see for example [3] and [10]. Further invariants are given in [12] for k sufficiently large. Recently geometric objects have been used effectively to obtain information about rational difference equations with invariants. A good example of this is the use of the Lie symmetry of the associated map by A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa in [8] and [9]. Another technique, which makes use of algebraic geometry, can be found in [17].

The forbidden set of a rational difference equation is the set of initial conditions which eventually map to a singularity. Finding such sets has become a topic of recent interest in the literature, see for example [5], [11], [27]-[37]. Few techniques for determining forbidden sets are known. One such technique is the use of a semiconjugate factorization, see [29], [30], [32], and [34]-[37] for more on this topic. In Section 4, we will find invariants for the given second order difference equations which allow us to perform

a reduction of order in each case. In each case, the second order rational difference equation is transformed, via the invariant, to a family of first order linear fractional rational difference equations. Since the forbidden set and closed form solution is known for first order linear fractional rational difference equations, we use this information to find the forbidden set and closed form solution for the equations given in Section 4. For the reader's convenience, the forbidden set and closed form solution for first order linear fractional rational difference equations are presented in Section 3.

3. The Riccati Difference Equation

In this section, we briefly summarize the known results on the Riccati difference equation, see [14], [16] and [21]. The branch cut for the complex square root will be taken to be the negative real numbers for the remainder of the article.

Theorem 1. Consider the rational difference equation

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n}{A + B x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$

where the parameters α, β, A, B and the initial condition x_0 are complex numbers. There are seven possibilities.

- (1) Suppose A = B = 0, then $\mathfrak{F} = \mathbb{C}$.
- (2) Suppose B = 0, and $A \neq 0$, then $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n}{A}$. So $\mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ and $x_n = \frac{\beta^n x_0}{A^n} + \sum_{i=1}^n \frac{\alpha \beta^{n-1}}{A^n}$ for all $n \geq 1$.
- (3) Suppose $B \neq 0$ and $\alpha B \beta A = 0$, then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = \{\frac{-A}{B}\}$ and $x_n = \frac{\beta}{B}$ for all $n \geq 1$ whenever $x_0 \notin \{\frac{-A}{B}\}$.
- (4) Suppose $B \neq 0$, $\alpha B \beta A \neq 0$, and $\beta + A = 0$, then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = \{\frac{-A}{B}\}$. Furthermore, $x_{2n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_0}{A + B x_0}$ for all $n \geq 0$, and $x_{2n} = x_0$ for all $n \geq 0$, whenever $x_0 \notin \{\frac{-A}{B}\}$.
- (5) Suppose $B \neq 0$, $\alpha B \beta A \neq 0$, $\beta + A \neq 0$, and $\frac{\beta A \alpha B}{(\beta + A)^2} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$, and let

$$w_{-} = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - 4\left(\frac{\beta A - \alpha B}{(\beta + A)^2}\right)}}{2} \quad and \quad w_{+} = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - 4\left(\frac{\beta A - \alpha B}{(\beta + A)^2}\right)}}{2},$$

then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = \left\{ \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{w_+^{n-1} - w_-^{n-1}}{w_+^n - w_-^n} \right) w_+ w_- - \frac{A}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Furthermore

$$x_n = \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{\left(\frac{Bx_0 + A}{\beta + A} - w_-\right) w_+^{n+1} - \left(w_+ - \frac{Bx_0 + A}{\beta + A}\right) w_-^{n+1}}{\left(\frac{Bx_0 + A}{\beta + A} - w_-\right) w_+^n - \left(w_+ - \frac{Bx_0 + A}{\beta + A}\right) w_-^n} \right) - \frac{A}{B}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whenever
$$x_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{w_+^{n-1} - w_-^{n-1}}{w_+^n - w_-^n} \right) w_+ w_- - \frac{A}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

(6) Suppose $B \neq 0$, $\alpha B - \beta A \neq 0$, $\beta + A \neq 0$, and $\frac{\beta A - \alpha B}{(\beta + A)^2} = \frac{1}{4}$, then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = \left\{ \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{n-1}{2n} \right) - \frac{A}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Furthermore

$$x_n = \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{1 + (\frac{2Bx_0 + 2A}{\beta + A} - 1)(n+1)}{2 + 2(\frac{2Bx_0 + 2A}{\beta + A} - 1)n} \right) - \frac{A}{B}, \quad \text{for all } n \in \mathbb{N},$$

whenever $x_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\frac{n-1}{2n} \right) - \frac{A}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$

(7) Suppose $B \neq 0$, $\alpha B - \beta A \neq 0$, $\beta + A \neq 0$, and $R = \frac{\beta A - \alpha B}{(\beta + A)^2} \in (\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$, let $\phi =$ $\arccos\left(\frac{1}{2}\sqrt{\frac{1}{R}}\right), then\ the\ forbidden\ set,\ \mathfrak{F}=\left\{\frac{\beta+A}{2B}\left(1-\sqrt{4R-1}\cot\left(n\phi\right)\right)-\frac{A}{B}|n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}.$

$$x_n = \frac{\beta + A}{B} \left(\sqrt{R} \right) \left(\frac{\sqrt{4R - 1} \cos\left((n+1)\phi\right) - \left(\frac{2Bx_0 + 2A}{\beta + A} - 1\right) \sin\left((n+1)\phi\right)}{\sqrt{4R - 1} \cos\left(n\phi\right) - \left(\frac{2Bx_0 + 2A}{\beta + A} - 1\right) \sin\left(n\phi\right)} \right) - \frac{A}{B},$$

$$whenever \ x_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{\beta + A}{2B} \left(1 - \sqrt{4R - 1} \cot n\phi\right) - \frac{A}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

4. Using invariants to find forbidden sets

Here we present six rational difference equations, each of order 2, which possess algebraic invariants. The invariants allow for a reduction of order in each case so that the dynamics of the equation can be described by either a family of Riccati equations, or a family of linear equations. The examples here have nice algebraic properties which are conducive to this type of approach. A remaining question of importance which is left to further work is whether this approach can be adapted to yield forbidden sets and explicit closed form solutions for other rational equations.

In the remainder of the article we make the notational convention of representing the set of inital conditions as a set in \mathbb{C}^2 with coordinates (z_0, z_{-1}) this will be important for the remainder of the article, especially as it is needed to accurately describe the forbidden sets. Moreover, to accommodate the large formulae necessary to give a complete description of the forbidden sets, the forbidden sets are included in figures 1 and 2.

Theorem 2. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n}{1 + Bz_{n-1} - Bz_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(4)

with $B \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_1$, where S_1 is given in Figure 1. Also given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$ there are four possibilities:

i. $z_0 = 0$, in which case $z_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. ii. $z_0 \ne 0$ and $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$, in which case $z_{2n+1} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $z_{2n} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2n-2}}$ for all n > 1. Thus

$$z_{2n} = \frac{n+2+nBz_0 + Bz_0}{nB+B+nB^2z_0} - \frac{2}{B}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

iii. $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$, in which case $z_{2n} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $z_{2n+1} = \frac{-1}{2B+B^2z_{2n-1}}$ for all $n \ge 0$.

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{n+3+nBz_{-1}+2Bz_{-1}}{nB+2B+nB^2z_{-1}+B^2z_{-1}} - \frac{2}{B}, \quad n \ge -1.$$

iv. $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$, in which case $z_{n+1} = \frac{1+Bz_n}{C-B-B^2z_n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right) (1 + Bz_{-1})$. This implies the following: a. If $\frac{B}{C} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then call $C - B - B^2 z_0 - C\lambda_1 = M_1$ and $C\lambda_2 + B + B^2 z_0 - C = M_2$,

$$z_n = \frac{-C}{B^2} \left(\frac{M_2 \lambda_1^{n+1} + M_1 \lambda_2^{n+1}}{M_2 \lambda_1^n + M_1 \lambda_2^n} \right) + \frac{C}{B^2} - \frac{1}{B}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4B}{C}}}{2}, \quad and \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4B}{C}}}{2}.$$

b. If C = 4B, then

$$z_n = \frac{4 + (n+1)(2 - 2Bz_0)}{nB^2 z_0 - 2B - nB} + \frac{3}{B}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

c. If $\frac{C}{B} \in (0,4)$, then call $\arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{C}{4B}}\right) = \rho$, and for $n \geq 0$ we have,

$$z_{n} = \frac{-\sqrt{\frac{C}{B}}}{B} \left(\frac{\left(\sqrt{\frac{4B}{C}} - 1\right)\cos\left((n+1)\rho\right) + (2w_{0} - 1)\sin\left((n+1)\rho\right)}{\left(\sqrt{\frac{4B}{C}} - 1\right)\cos\left(n\rho\right) + (2w_{0} - 1)\sin\left(n\rho\right)} \right) + \frac{C - B}{B^{2}}.$$

Where

$$w_0 = \frac{-B^2 z_0 + C - B}{C}.$$

Proof. Let us begin by finding the forbidden set for our Equation (4). Let \mathfrak{F}_1 be the forbidden set with $z_0 = 0$. Let \mathfrak{F}_2 be the forbidden set with $z_0 \neq 0$ and $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$. Let \mathfrak{F}_3 be the forbidden set with $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$. Let \mathfrak{F}_4 be the forbidden set with $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$. Then the forbidden set $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathfrak{F}_i$. So we must find all \mathfrak{F}_i with $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Let us begin with \mathfrak{F}_1 . We have assumed $z_0=0$. Let us further assume that $z_{-1}\neq\frac{-1}{B}$, then z_1 is well defined and equal to 0. Whenever $(z_n, z_{n-1}) = (0, 0)$, then z_{n+1} is well defined and equal to 0. Thus, by induction, z_n is well defined and equal to 0 for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, if $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$, then $(0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_1$. On the other hand, assume $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$, then z_1 is not well defined and so $(0, \frac{-1}{B}) \in \mathfrak{F}_1$. Thus, $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{(0, \frac{-1}{B})\}$.

Now, let us find \mathfrak{F}_2 . In this case we have assumed $z_0 \neq 0$ and $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$. Assume z_{2n} is well defined for $0 \le n \le N$, then we may make an induction argument with z_{-1} as the base case. Assume that $z_{2k+1} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for k < N, then, by our earlier assumption, z_{2k+2} is well defined and

$$z_{2k+2} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2k}} \neq 0.$$

So,

$$z_{2k+3} = \frac{z_{2k+2}}{1 + Bz_{2k+1} - Bz_{2k+2}} = \frac{z_{2k+2}}{-Bz_{2k+2}} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

By this induction argument, $z_{2n+1} = \frac{-1}{B}$ and assuming z_{2N+2} is well defined,

$$z_{2n+2} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2n}},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}$,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0$, $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$, and $z_0 \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$, and assume that z_{2n} is well defined for $n \leq N$, then $z_{2N+1} = \frac{-1}{B}$ and

$$1 + Bz_{2N} - Bz_{2N+1} = 2 + Bz_{2N} = \frac{2B + B^2z_{2N}}{B} \neq 0,$$

since $z_0 \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Thus, z_{2n} is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, \frac{-1}{B}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$.

Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0$, $z_{-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$, and $z_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, \frac{-1}{B}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$. Then, since $(z_0, \frac{-1}{B}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $2B + B^2 z_{2N} = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. But then

$$1 + Bz_{2N} - Bz_{2N+1} = 2 + Bz_{2N} = \frac{2B + B^2z_{2N}}{B} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, \frac{-1}{B}) \in \mathfrak{F}_2$. So $\mathfrak{F}_2 = (\hat{\mathfrak{F}} \setminus \{0\}) \times \{\frac{-1}{B}\}$.

Next, let us find \mathfrak{F}_3 . In this case we have assumed $z_0 = \frac{1}{B}$. Assume z_{2n-1} is well defined for $1 \le n \le N$, then we may make an induction argument with z_0 as the base case. Assume that $z_{2k} = \frac{1}{B}$ for k < N, then, by our earlier assumption, z_{2k+1} is well defined and

$$z_{2k+1} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2k}} \neq 0.$$

So,

$$z_{2k+2} = \frac{z_{2k+1}}{1 + Bz_{2k} - Bz_{2k+1}} = \frac{z_{2k+1}}{-Bz_{2k+1}} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

By this induction argument, $z_{2n} = \frac{-1}{B}$ and assuming z_{2N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2n}},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}$,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, suppose $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$, and assume that z_{2n-1} is well defined for $n \leq N$, then $z_{2N} = \frac{-1}{B}$ and

$$1 + Bz_{2N-1} - Bz_{2N} = 2 + Bz_{2N-1} = \frac{2B + B^2z_{2N-1}}{B} \neq 0,$$

since $z_{-1} \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Thus, z_{2n-1} is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(\frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_3$.

Now, suppose $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(\frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_3$. Then, since $(\frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_3$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $2B + B^2 z_{2N-1} = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. But then

$$1 + Bz_{2N-1} - Bz_{2N} = 2 + Bz_{2N-1} = \frac{2B + B^2z_{2N-1}}{B} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(\frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_3$. So, $\mathfrak{F}_3 = \{\frac{-1}{B}\} \times \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$.

Finally, let us find \mathfrak{F}_4 . In this case we have assumed $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$. Assume z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$, then a simple induction argument shows $z_n \neq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N$. Using this we get that for n < N,

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) (1 + Bz_{n-1}) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1}}{z_n}\right) (1 + Bz_n) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1} - Bz_n + Bz_n}{z_n}\right) (1 + Bz_n) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1} - Bz_n}{z_n} + B\right) (1 + Bz_n) = \left(\frac{1}{z_{n+1}} + B\right) (1 + Bz_n).$$

So,

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right)(1 + Bz_{n-1}) = constant,$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Thus, $z_n \ne \frac{-1}{B}$ for $n \le N$ and assuming z_{N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{1 + Bz_n}{C - B - B^2 z_n},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Where $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right) (1 + Bz_{-1})$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation \mathfrak{F}_C ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1 + Bx_n}{C - B - B^2x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the set \mathfrak{F}_C changes depending on the value of C. Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$, $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$, $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right)(1 + Bz_{-1})$, and $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Recall that we have shown that this implies $z_n \neq \frac{-1}{B}$ for $n \leq N$. Then

$$1 + Bz_{N-1} - Bz_N = \frac{C}{B + \frac{1}{z_N}} - Bz_N = \frac{C - B - B^2 z_N}{B + \frac{1}{z_N}} \neq 0,$$

since $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$.

Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}, C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right)(1 + Bz_{-1})$, and $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $C - B - B^2 z_N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. But then

$$1 + Bz_{N-1} - Bz_N = \frac{C}{B + \frac{1}{z_N}} - Bz_N = \frac{C - B - B^2 z_N}{B + \frac{1}{z_N}} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_4$. So,

$$\mathfrak{F}_4 = \bigcup_{b \neq \frac{-1}{B}} \bigcup_{C \neq 0} \left(\left(\left(\mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \left\{ 0, \frac{-1}{B} \right\} \right) \times \{b\} \right) \cap \left\{ (a, b) | C = \left(\frac{1}{a} + B \right) (1 + Bb) \right\} \right).$$

Reducing the above expression, we get

$$\mathfrak{F}_4 = \bigcup_{C \neq 0} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{Ca - Ba - 1}{B^2 a + B} \right) | a \in \mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \left\{ 0, \frac{-1}{B} \right\} \right\}.$$

From the above characterization of $\mathfrak{F}_1,\ldots,\mathfrak{F}_4$ and from the facts about the forbidden sets of the Riccati difference equation in Section 3, we get $\mathfrak{F}=S_1$, where S_1 is given in Figure 1. Now, let us describe the behavior when $(z_0,z_{-1})\notin\mathfrak{F}$. Our analysis of this case will be broken into four subcases as shown in the statement of Theorem 2. Let us first consider case (i). In this case $z_0=0$ and also, since $(z_0,z_{-1})\notin\mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. It is immediately clear from these two facts and from a basic induction argument that $z_n=0$ for all $n\geq 0$. Now, let us consider case (ii). In this case $z_0\neq 0$ and $z_{-1}=\frac{-1}{B}$. Also, since $(z_0,z_{-1})\notin\mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n\neq 0$ for all $n\geq 0$. The induction argument for this piece is straightforward and is omitted. Now, we will show by induction that $z_{2n+1}=\frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n\geq 0$. Since $z_0\neq 0$, we have

$$z_1 = \frac{z_0}{1 + Bz_{-1} - Bz_0} = \frac{z_0}{-Bz_0} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

This provides the base case for our induction argument. Now, suppose $z_{2n-1} = \frac{-1}{B}$, then since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{z_{2n}}{1 + Bz_{2n-1} - Bz_{2n}} = \frac{z_{2n}}{-Bz_{2n}} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

Thus, we have shown that $z_{2n+1} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. This fact and Equation (4) immediately yields,

$$z_{2n} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 + Bz_{2n-2} - Bz_{2n-1}} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2n-2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the even terms are defined recursively by the above equation. Notice that since we have only rewritten the recursive Equation (4) we cannot have division by zero in this equation with our choice of initial conditions. In other words, $z_{2n} \neq \frac{-2}{B}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Notice that this is a Riccati equation after a change of variables. Since we already know

the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for z_{2n} , and thus a closed form solution for z_n in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Now, let us consider case (iii). In this case, $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$. Also, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. The induction argument for this piece is straightforward and is omitted. Now, we will show by induction that $z_{2n} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Since $z_1 \neq 0$, we have

$$z_2 = \frac{z_1}{1 + Bz_0 - Bz_1} = \frac{z_1}{-Bz_1} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

This provides the base case for our induction argument. Now, suppose $z_{2n-2} = \frac{-1}{B}$, then since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, we have

$$z_{2n} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 + Bz_{2n-2} - Bz_{2n-1}} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{-Bz_{2n-1}} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

Thus, we have shown that $z_{2n} = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \ge 0$. This fact and Equation (4) immediately yields,

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{z_{2n}}{1 + Bz_{2n-1} - Bz_{2n}} = \frac{-1}{2B + B^2 z_{2n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the odd terms are defined recursively by the above equation. Notice that since we have only rewritten the recursive Equation (4) we cannot have division by zero in this equation with our choice of initial conditions. In other words, $z_{2n-1} \neq \frac{-2}{B}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Notice that this is a Riccati equation after a change of variables. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for z_{2n+1} , and thus a closed form solution for z_n in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Let us finally consider case (iv). In this case $z_0 \neq 0$, $\frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$. Also, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. The induction argument for this piece is straightforward and is omitted. Since there is never division by zero in our solution, and since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following algebraic computation is well defined.

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) (1 + Bz_{n-1}) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1}}{z_n}\right) (1 + Bz_n) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1} - Bz_n + Bz_n}{z_n}\right) (1 + Bz_n) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + Bz_{n-1} - Bz_n}{z_n} + B\right) (1 + Bz_n) = \left(\frac{1}{z_{n+1}} + B\right) (1 + Bz_n).$$

Thus, we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right)(1 + Bz_{n-1}) = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions with $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$ let us denote $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right)(1 + Bz_{-1})$. Since $z_0 \neq 0$, C is well defined, and since $z_0, z_{-1} \neq \frac{-1}{B}$, $C \neq 0$. Since $C \neq 0$, this forces $z_n \neq \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Now, we claim that since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}, z_n \neq \frac{C-B}{B^2}$ for all $n \geq 0$. In the case where C = B it follows from the fact that $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. In the remaining case, suppose there were such an N, then:

$$\left(\frac{B^2}{C-B} + B\right)\left(1 + Bz_{N-1}\right) = C.$$

This implies that $z_N = \frac{C-B}{B^2}$ and $z_{N-1} = \frac{C-2B}{B^2}$, but then $1 + Bz_{N-1} - Bz_N = 0$. However, this contradicts the fact that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Thus, we have that $z_n \neq \frac{C-B}{B^2}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = \frac{1 + Bz_{n-1}}{C - B - B^2 z_{n-1}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since $z_n \neq \frac{C-B}{B^2}$ for all $n \geq 0$, this equation is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$. Thus the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a Riccati equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 3. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_{n-1}}{1 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(5)

with $B \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_2$, where S_2 is given in Figure 1. Also, given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there are four possibilities:

i. $z_0 = 0$, in which case $z_{2n} = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $z_{2n+1} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 - Bz_{2n-1}}$ for all $n \ge 0$. This implies

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{1}{-B} \left(\frac{1 - (n+2)Bz_{-1}}{1 - (n+1)Bz_{-1}} \right) + \frac{1}{B}, \quad n \ge -1.$$

ii. $z_{-1} = 0$, in which case $z_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$ and $z_{2n} = \frac{z_{2n-2}}{1-Bz_{2n-2}}$ for all $n \ge 1$. This implies

$$z_{2n} = \frac{1}{-B} \left(\frac{1 - (n+1)Bz_0}{1 - nBz_0} \right) + \frac{1}{B}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

iii. $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$, in which case $z_n = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \ge 0$. iv. $z_0 \ne 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \ne 0$, in which case $z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{Cz_n - B}$ for all $n \ge 0$, where $C = \frac{1}{Cz_n - B}$ $\left(\frac{1}{z_0}+B\right)\left(\frac{1}{z_{-1}}\right)$. This implies the following: a. If $\frac{-C}{\mathbb{R}^2} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [\frac{1}{4}, \infty)$, then

$$z_n = \frac{-B}{C} \left(\frac{(B\lambda_2 + Cz_0 - B)\lambda_1^{n+1} + (B - Cz_0 - B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^{n+1}}{(B\lambda_2 + Cz_0 - B)\lambda_1^n + (B - Cz_0 - B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^n} \right) + \frac{B}{C}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}, \quad and \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}.$$

b. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} = \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$z_n = \frac{-B}{C} \left(\frac{-B + (n+1)(2Cz_0 - B)}{-2B + 4nCz_0 - 2nB} \right) + \frac{B}{C}, \quad n \ge 0.$$

c. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then call $B\sqrt{\frac{-4C}{B^2} - 1} = D$ and call $\arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{-B^2}{4C}}\right) = \rho$ and for $n \ge 0$, we have

$$z_{n} = \sqrt{\frac{-B^{2}}{C}} \left(\frac{D \cos((n+1)\rho) + (B - 2Cz_{0}) \sin((n+1)\rho)}{BD \cos(n\rho) + (B^{2} - 2CBz_{0}) \sin(n\rho)} \right) + \frac{B}{C}.$$

Proof. We begin by finding the forbidden set for our Equation (5). Let \mathfrak{F}_1 be the forbidden set with $z_0 = 0$. Let \mathfrak{F}_2 be the forbidden set with $z_{-1} = 0$. Let \mathfrak{F}_3 be the forbidden set with $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$. Let \mathfrak{F}_4 be the forbidden set with $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq 0$. Then the forbidden set $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathfrak{F}_i$. So we must find all \mathfrak{F}_i with $1 \leq i \leq 4$.

Then the forbidden set $\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{i=1}^4 \mathfrak{F}_i$. So we must find all \mathfrak{F}_i with $1 \leq i \leq 4$. Let us begin with \mathfrak{F}_1 . We have assumed $z_0 = 0$. Assume z_n is well defined for $0 \leq n \leq 2N$, then by a simple induction argument $z_{2n} = 0$ for $0 \leq n \leq N$ and so assuming z_{2N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 - Bz_{2n-1}},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation $\hat{\mathfrak{F}}$,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{1 - Bx_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, suppose $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{-1} \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N$, then $z_{2N} = 0$ and

$$1 + Bz_{2N} - Bz_{2N-1} = 1 - Bz_{2N-1} \neq 0,$$

since $z_{-1} \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N+1$ and

$$z_{2N+1} = \frac{z_{2N-1}}{1 - Bz_{2N-1}}.$$

So,

$$1 + Bz_{2N+1} - Bz_{2N} = 1 + Bz_{2N+1} = \frac{1 - Bz_{2N-1} + Bz_{2N-1}}{1 - Bz_{2N-1}} = \frac{1}{1 - Bz_{2N-1}} \neq 0,$$

since $1 - Bz_{2N-1} \neq 0$. Thus z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N + 2$. By induction z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $(0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_1$.

Now suppose $z_0 = 0$ and $z_{-1} \in \mathfrak{F}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_1$. Then, since $(0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_1$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $1 - Bz_{2N-1} = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ since $z_{-1} \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. But then

$$1 + Bz_{2N} - Bz_{2N-1} = 1 - Bz_{2N-1} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus $(0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_1$. So $\mathfrak{F}_1 = \{0\} \times \mathfrak{F}$.

Next, let us find \mathfrak{F}_2 . In this case, we have assumed $z_{-1}=0$. Assume z_n is well defined for $0 \le n \le 2N+1$, then by a simple induction argment $z_{2n+1}=0$ for $0 \le n \le N$ and so assuming z_{2N+2} is well defined,

$$z_{2n+2} = \frac{z_{2n}}{1 - Bz_{2n}},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation \mathfrak{F} ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{x_n}{1 - Bx_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Now, suppose $z_{-1} = 0$ and $z_0 \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$ and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N + 1$, then $z_{2N+1} = 0$ and

$$1 + Bz_{2N+1} - Bz_{2N} = 1 - Bz_{2N} \neq 0$$
,

since $z_0 \notin \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N+2$ and

$$z_{2N+2} = \frac{z_{2N}}{1 - Bz_{2N}}.$$

So,

$$1 + Bz_{2N+2} - Bz_{2N+1} = 1 + Bz_{2N+2} = \frac{1 - Bz_{2N} + Bz_{2N}}{1 - Bz_{2N}} = \frac{1}{1 - Bz_{2N}} \neq 0,$$

since $1 - Bz_{2N} \neq 0$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq 2N + 3$. By induction z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, 0) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$.

Now, suppose $z_{-1} = 0$ and $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0,0) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$. Then, since $(z_0,0) \notin \mathfrak{F}_2$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $1 - Bz_{2N} = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \hat{\mathfrak{F}}$. But then

$$1 + Bz_{2N+1} - Bz_{2N} = 1 - Bz_{2N} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, 0) \in \mathfrak{F}_2$. So $\mathfrak{F}_2 = \hat{\mathfrak{F}} \times \{0\}$. Now, let us find \mathfrak{F}_3 . We have assumed $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$. Let us further assume that $z_{-1} \neq 0$, then z_1 is well defined and equal to $\frac{-1}{B}$. Whenever $(z_n, z_{n-1}) = (\frac{-1}{B}, \frac{-1}{B})$ then z_{n+1} is well defined and equal to $\frac{-1}{B}$. Thus, by induction, z_n is well defined and equal to $\frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, if $z_{-1} \neq 0$, then $(\frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_3$. On the other hand, assume $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1}=0$. Then z_1 is not well defined, and so $\left(\frac{-1}{B},0\right)\in\mathfrak{F}_3$. Thus, $\mathfrak{F}_3=\left\{\left(\frac{-1}{B},0\right)\right\}$.

Finally, let us find \mathfrak{F}_4 . In this case we have assumed $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq 0$. Assume z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$, then a simple induction argument shows $z_n \neq 0$ for $n \leq N$, and so for n < N,

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_{n+1}} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) =$$

$$\left(\frac{1 + Bz_n}{z_{n-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_n}{z_n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right).$$

So,

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right) = constant,$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Thus, $z_n \ne \frac{-1}{B}$ for $n \le N$ and assuming z_{N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{1}{Cz_n - B},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Where $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{-1}}\right)$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation \mathfrak{F}_C ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{1}{Cx_n - B}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the set \mathfrak{F}_C changes depending on the value of C. Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$, $z_{-1} \neq 0$, $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{-1}}\right)$, and $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Then, notice that

$$z_{N-1} = \frac{1 + Bz_N}{Cz_N}.$$

Thus

$$1 + Bz_N - Bz_{N-1} = 1 + Bz_N - \left(\frac{B + B^2 z_N}{Cz_N}\right) = \frac{Cz_N + BCz_N^2 - B - B^2 z_N}{Cz_N}$$
$$= \frac{(Cz_N - B)(Bz_N + 1)}{Cz_N} \neq 0,$$

since $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$ and $z_n \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \leq N$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$.

Now, suppose $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}, z_{-1} \neq 0, C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right)\left(\frac{1}{z_{-1}}\right)$, and $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}_4$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $Cz_N - B = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$ since $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. But then

$$1 + Bz_N - Bz_{N-1} = 1 + Bz_N - \left(\frac{B + B^2 z_N}{Cz_N}\right) = \frac{(Cz_N - B)(Bz_N + 1)}{Cz_N} = 0.$$

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}_4$. So,

$$\mathfrak{F}_4 = \bigcup_{b \neq 0} \bigcup_{C \neq 0} \left(\left(\left(\mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \left\{ 0, \frac{-1}{B} \right\} \right) \times \{b\} \right) \cap \left\{ (a,b) | C = \left(\frac{1}{a} + B \right) \left(\frac{1}{b} \right) \right\} \right).$$

Reducing the above expression, we get

$$\mathfrak{F}_4 = \bigcup_{C \neq 0} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{Ba+1}{Ca} \right) | a \in \mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \left\{ 0, \frac{-1}{B} \right\} \right\}.$$

From the above characterization of $\mathfrak{F}_1, \ldots, \mathfrak{F}_4$ and from the facts about the forbidden sets of the Riccati difference equation in Section 3, we get $\mathfrak{F} = S_2$, where S_2 is given in Figure 1.

Now, we will describe the behavior when $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Our analysis of this case will be broken into four subcases as shown in the statement of Theorem 3. Let us first consider case (i). In this case, $z_0 = 0$ and also since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$ there will never be division by zero in our solution. It is immediately clear from these two facts and from a basic induction argument that $z_{2n} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. This fact and Equation (5) immediately yields,

$$z_{2n+1} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 + Bz_{2n} - Bz_{2n-1}} = \frac{z_{2n-1}}{1 - Bz_{2n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the odd terms are defined recursively by the above equation. Notice that since we have only rewritten the recursive Equation (5), we cannot have division by zero in this equation with our choice of initial conditions. In other words, $z_{2n+1} \neq \frac{1}{B}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Notice that this is a Riccati equation after a change of variables. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for z_{2n+1} , and thus a closed form solution for z_n in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Now, let us consider case (ii). In this case $z_{-1} = 0$ and also since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$ there will never be division by zero in our solution. It is immediately clear from these two facts and from a basic induction argument that $z_{2n+1} = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. This fact and Equation (5) immediately yields,

$$z_{2n} = \frac{z_{2n-2}}{1 + Bz_{2n-1} - Bz_{2n-2}} = \frac{z_{2n-2}}{1 - Bz_{2n-2}}, \quad n = 1, 2, \dots$$

Thus, the even terms are defined recursively by the above equation. Notice that since we have only rewritten the recursive Equation (5), we cannot have division by zero in this equation with our choice of initial conditions. In other words, $z_{2n} \neq \frac{1}{B}$ for any $n \geq 0$. Notice that this is a Riccati equation after a change of variables. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for z_{2n} , and thus a closed form solution for z_n in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Now, let us consider case (iii). In this case, $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$. Also, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. The case $z_0 = \frac{-1}{B}$ provides the base case. Assume that $z_n = \frac{-1}{B}$, since there is never division by zero from Equation (5) we get,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_{n-1}}{1 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}} = \frac{z_{n-1}}{-Bz_{n-1}} = \frac{-1}{B}.$$

Thus we have shown that $z_n = \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \ge 0$.

Let us finally consider case (iv). In this case, $z_0 \neq 0, \frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq 0$. Also, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. The induction argument for this piece is straightforward and is omitted. Since there is never division by zero in our solution and

since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following algebraic computation is well defined.

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_{n+1}} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_n}{z_{n-1}}\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_n}\right) = \left(\frac{1 + Bz_n}{z_n}\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right) = \left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right).$$

Thus, we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$\left(\frac{1}{z_n} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{n-1}}\right) = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions with $z_0 \neq 0$, $\frac{-1}{B}$ and $z_{-1} \neq 0$, let us denote $C = \left(\frac{1}{z_0} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{-1}}\right)$. Since $z_0 \neq 0$, C is well defined and since $z_0 \neq \frac{-1}{B}$, $C \neq 0$. Since $C \neq 0$, this forces $z_n \neq \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Now, we claim that since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, $z_n \neq \frac{B}{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$. In the case where $C = -B^2$, it follows from the fact that $z_n \neq \frac{-1}{B}$ for all $n \geq 0$. In the remaining case, suppose there were such an N, then:

$$\left(\frac{C}{B} + B\right) \left(\frac{1}{z_{N-1}}\right) = C.$$

This implies that $z_N = \frac{B}{C}$ and $z_{N-1} = \frac{B^2 + C}{CB}$, but then $1 + Bz_N - Bz_{N-1} = 0$. However, this contradicts the fact that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Thus, we have that $z_n \neq \frac{B}{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = \frac{1}{Cz_{n-1} - B}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since $z_n \neq \frac{B}{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$, this equation is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a Riccati equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Theorem 4. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n^2 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
 (6)

with $B \in \mathbb{C}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_3$, where S_3 is given in Figure 2. Also, given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, $z_{n+1} = Cz_n - B$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}}$. This implies

$$z_n = C^n z_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n C^{k-1} B, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. In this case clearly $z_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq -1$ or else we would have division by zero. Since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq -1$ the following algebraic computation is well defined:

$$\frac{z_{n+1} + B}{z_n} = \frac{\frac{z_n^2 + Bz_n - Bz_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}} + B}{z_n} = \frac{z_n^2 + Bz_n}{z_n z_{n-1}} = \frac{z_n + B}{z_{n-1}}.$$

Thus, we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$\frac{z_n + B}{z_{n-1}} = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions, let us denote $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}}$. Since $z_{-1} \neq 0$, C is well defined. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = Cz_{n-1} - B, \quad n > 1.$$

Thus, the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a linear equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any linear equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. The resulting closed form solution is

$$z_n = C^n z_0 - \sum_{k=1}^n C^{k-1} B, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Now, we must find the forbidden set for our Equation (6). Let \mathfrak{F} be the forbidden set. Suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq 0$, $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}} \neq 1$, and $z_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{B - BC^n}{C^n - C^{n+1}} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Then

$$z_{N-1} \neq 0,$$

since $z_0 \notin \{\frac{B-BC^n}{C^n-C^{n+1}} | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Now, suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq 0$, $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}} \neq 1$, and $z_0 \in \left\{\frac{B - BC^n}{C^n - C^{n+1}} | n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $z_N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \left\{\frac{B - BC^n}{C^n - C^{n+1}} | n \in \mathbb{N}\right\}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq 0$, $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}} = 1$, and $z_0 \notin \{nB | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Then

$$z_{N-1} \neq 0,$$

since $z_0 \notin \{nB | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

Now, suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq 0$, $C = \frac{z_0 + B}{z_{-1}} = 1$, and $z_0 \in \{nB | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $z_N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \{nB | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$.

Finally, suppose either $z_0=0$ or $z_{-1}=0$, then $(z_0,z_{-1})\in\mathfrak{F}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{F}=S_3$, where S_3 is given in Figure 2.

Theorem 5. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n^2 + Bz_n}{z_{n-1} + B}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(7)

with $B \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_4$, where S_4 is given in Figure 2. Also, given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$ there are two possibilities:

i. $z_0 = 0$, in which case $z_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$;

ii. $z_0 \neq 0$, in which case $z_{n+1} = \frac{z_{n+B}}{C}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $C = \frac{z_{-1} + B}{z_0}$. This implies

$$z_n = \frac{z_0}{C^n} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B}{C^k}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Our analysis of this case will be broken into two subcases as shown in the statement of Theorem 5. Let us first consider case (i). In this case, $z_0 = 0$ and also since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. It is immediately clear from these two facts and from a basic induction argument that $z_n = 0$ for all $n \geq 0$.

Now, let us consider case (ii). In this case $z_0 \neq 0$. Also, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, there will never be division by zero in our solution. Thus, $z_n \neq -B$ for all $n \geq -1$ or else we would have division by zero. This allows us to prove by induction that $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$. The induction argument for this piece is straightforward and is omitted. Since there is never division by zero in our solution, and since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following algebraic computation is well defined.

$$\frac{z_n + B}{z_{n+1}} = \frac{(z_n + B)(z_{n-1} + B)}{z_n^2 + Bz_n} = \frac{z_{n-1} + B}{z_n}.$$

Thus, we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$\frac{z_{n-1} + B}{z_n} = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions with $z_0 \neq 0$, let us denote $C = \frac{z_{-1} + B}{z_0}$. Since $z_0 \neq 0$, C is well defined and since $z_{-1} \neq -B$, $C \neq 0$. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = \frac{z_{n-1} + B}{C}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Thus, the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a linear equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any linear equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. The resulting closed form solution is

$$z_n = \frac{z_0}{C^n} + \sum_{k=1}^n \frac{B}{C^k}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Now, we must find the forbidden set for our Equation (7). Let \mathfrak{F} be the forbidden set. Suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq -B$, $C = \frac{z_{-1}+B}{z_0} \neq 1$, and $z_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{B-BC^{n+1}}{C-1} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$, and assume that

 z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Then

$$z_{N-1} \neq -B$$
,

since $z_0 \notin \left\{ \frac{B - BC^{n+1}}{C - 1} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N + 1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

Now, suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq -B, C = \frac{z_{-1} + B}{z_0} \neq 1$, and $z_0 \in \left\{ \frac{B - BC^{n+1}}{C - 1} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $z_N = -B$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ $\left\{\frac{B-BC^{n+1}}{C-1}|n\in\mathbb{N}\right\}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0,z_{-1})\in\mathfrak{F}$.

Suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq -B$, $C = \frac{z_{-1}+B}{z_0} = 1$, and $z_0 \notin \{-nB - B | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Then

$$z_{N-1} \neq -B$$

since $z_0 \notin \{-nB - B | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N + 1$. By induction, z_n

is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Now, suppose $z_0, z_{-1} \neq -B$, $C = \frac{z_{-1}+B}{z_0} = 1$, and $z_0 \in \{-nB-B | n \in \mathbb{N}\}$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $z_N = -B$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \mathbb{N}$ $\{-nB-B|n\in\mathbb{N}\}$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0,z_{-1})\in\mathfrak{F}$.

Finally, suppose either $z_0 = -B$ or $z_{-1} = -B$, then $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. Thus, $\mathfrak{F} = S_4$, where S_4 is given in Figure 2.

Theorem 6. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_n}{B + z_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(8)

with $B \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_5$, where S_5 is given in Figure 2. Also, given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, $z_{n+1} = \frac{C}{z_n + B}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $C = (z_{-1} + B)(z_0)$. This implies the following:

a. If C = 0, then $z_n = 0$ for all $n \ge 0$. b. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then

$$z_n = B\left(\frac{(B\lambda_2 - z_0 - B)\lambda_1^{n+1} + (z_0 + B - B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^{n+1}}{(B\lambda_2 - z_0 - B)\lambda_1^n + (z_0 + B - B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^n}\right) - B, \quad n \ge 0.$$

Where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}, \quad and \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}.$$

c. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} = \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$z_n = B\left(\frac{B + (n+1)(2z_0 + B)}{2B + 4nz_0 + 2nB}\right) - B, \quad n \ge 0.$$

d. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then call $B\sqrt{\frac{-4C}{B^2} - 1} = D$ and call $\arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{B^2}{-4C}}\right) = \rho$, and for $n \ge 0$, we have

$$z_n = B\sqrt{\frac{-C}{B^2}} \left(\frac{D\cos((n+1)\rho) + (B+2z_0)\sin((n+1)\rho)}{D\cos(n\rho) + (B+2z_0)\sin(n\rho)} \right) - B.$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. In this case clearly $z_n \neq -B$ for $n \geq 0$, or else we would have division by zero. Since $z_n \neq -B$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following algebraic computation is well defined:

$$z_{n+1}(z_n + B) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_n}{B + z_n}\right) (z_n + B) = z_n (z_{n-1} + B).$$

Thus we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$z_n(z_{n-1}+B) = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions, let us denote $C = z_0(z_{-1} + B)$. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = \frac{C}{z_{n-1} + B}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since $z_n \neq -B$ for all $n \geq 0$, this equation is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$. Thus the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a Riccati equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem.

Now, we must find the forbidden set for our Equation (8). Let \mathfrak{F} be the forbidden set. Assume z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$, then $z_n \neq -B$ for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. Using this we get that for n < N,

$$z_{n+1}(z_n + B) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_n}{B + z_n}\right)(z_n + B) = z_n(z_{n-1} + B).$$

So,

$$z_n(z_{n-1}+B) = constant.$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Thus, assuming z_{N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{C}{z_n + B},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Where $C = z_0(z_{-1} + B)$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation \mathfrak{F}_C ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{C}{x_n + B}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the set \mathfrak{F}_C changes depending on the value of C. Now, suppose $C = z_0(z_{-1}+B)$ and $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Recall that we have shown that this implies $z_n \neq -B$ for n < N. Then

$$B+z_n\neq 0$$
,

since $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$. Thus, z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

Now, suppose $C = z_0(z_{-1} + B)$ and $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $B + z_N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. This is a contradiction. Thus $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. So,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{b \in \mathbb{C}} \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{C}} \left((\mathfrak{F}_C \times \{b\}) \cap \{(a,b) | C = a(b+B)\} \right).$$

Notice that $(\{0\} \times \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$ since if $z_0 = 0$ then a simple induction argument tells us that $z_n = 0$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so there will never be division by zero in such a case. So we may reduce the above expression as follows,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{C - Ba}{a} \right) | a \in \mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \{0\} \right\}.$$

From the above reduction, and from the facts about the forbidden sets of the Riccati difference equation in Section 3, we get $\mathfrak{F} = S_5$, where S_5 is given in Figure 2.

Theorem 7. Consider the rational difference equation,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_{n-1} - B z_n}{z_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, \dots,$$
(9)

with $B \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \{0\}$ and with initial conditions $z_0, z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}$. Then the forbidden set, $\mathfrak{F} = S_6$, where S_6 is given in Figure 2. Also, given $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, $z_{n+1} = \frac{C - Bz_n}{z_n}$ for all $n \geq 0$, where $C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B)$. This implies the following:

a. If
$$C = 0$$
, then $z_n = -B$ for all $n \ge 0$.
b. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left[\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then

$$z_n = -B\left(\frac{(B\lambda_2 + z_0)\lambda_1^{n+1} - (z_0 + B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^{n+1}}{(B\lambda_2 + z_0)\lambda_1^n - (z_0 + B\lambda_1)\lambda_2^n}\right), \quad n \ge 0.$$

Where

$$\lambda_1 = \frac{1 - \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}, \quad and \quad \lambda_2 = \frac{1 + \sqrt{1 + \frac{4C}{B^2}}}{2}.$$

c. If $\frac{-C}{R^2} = \frac{1}{4}$, then

$$z_n = -B\left(\frac{-B + (n+1)(2z_0 + B)}{-2B + 4nz_0 + 2nB}\right), \quad n \ge 0.$$

d. If $\frac{-C}{B^2} \in \left(\frac{1}{4}, \infty\right)$, then call $B\sqrt{\frac{-4C}{B^2} - 1} = D$ and $\arccos\left(\sqrt{\frac{B^2}{-4C}}\right) = \rho$, and for $n \ge 0$,

$$z_{n} = -B\sqrt{\frac{-C}{B^{2}}} \left(\frac{D\cos((n+1)\rho) + (-2z_{0} - B)\sin((n+1)\rho)}{D\cos(n\rho) + (-2z_{0} - B)\sin(n\rho)} \right).$$

Proof. Let us first consider the case where $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. In this case clearly $z_n \neq 0$ for $n \geq 0$, or else we would have division by zero. Since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, the following algebraic computation is well defined:

$$z_n(z_{n+1}+B) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_{n-1} - B z_n}{z_n} + B\right)(z_n) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_{n-1}}{z_n}\right)(z_n) = z_{n-1}(z_n + B).$$

Thus, we have the following algebraic invariant:

$$z_{n-1}(z_n + B) = constant.$$

For our fixed but arbitrary initial conditions, let us denote $C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B)$. Algebraic manipulations of our invariant yield the following:

$$z_n = \frac{C - Bz_{n-1}}{z_{n-1}}, \quad n \ge 1.$$

Since $z_n \neq 0$ for all $n \geq 0$, this equation is well-defined for all $n \geq 1$. Thus, the dynamics of $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ are given by a Riccati equation in this case. Since we already know the closed form solution for any Riccati equation, we may obtain a closed form solution for $\{z_n\}_{n=-1}^{\infty}$ in this case. We use the known results for Riccati equations restated in Section 3 to obtain the closed form solutions in the statement of the theorem. Now, we must find the forbidden set for our Equation (9). Let \mathfrak{F} be the forbidden set. Assume z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$, then $z_n \neq 0$ for $1 \leq n \leq N-1$. Using this we get that for n < N

$$z_n(z_{n+1} + B) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_{n-1} - B z_n}{z_n} + B\right)(z_n) = \left(\frac{z_n z_{n-1} + B z_{n-1}}{z_n}\right)(z_n) = z_{n-1}(z_n + B).$$

So,

$$z_n(z_{n-1} + B) = constant.$$

for $0 \le n \le N$. Thus, assuming z_{N+1} is well defined,

$$z_{n+1} = \frac{C - Bz_n}{z_n},$$

for $0 \le n \le N$, where $C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B)$. Call the forbidden set of the following first order difference equation \mathfrak{F}_C ,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{C - Bx_n}{x_n}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

Note that the set \mathfrak{F}_C changes depending on the value of C. Now, suppose $C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B)$ and $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$, and assume that z_n is well defined for $n \leq N$. Recall that we have shown that this implies $z_n \neq 0$ for n < N. Then

$$z_N \neq 0$$
,

since $z_0 \notin \mathfrak{F}_C$. Thus z_n is well defined for $n \leq N+1$. By induction, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$.

Now, suppose $C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B)$ and $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. Further assume for the sake of contradiction

that $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$. Then, since $(z_0, z_{-1}) \notin \mathfrak{F}$, z_n is well defined for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, but also $z_N = 0$ for some $N \in \mathbb{N}$, since $z_0 \in \mathfrak{F}_C$. This is a contradiction. Thus, $(z_0, z_{-1}) \in \mathfrak{F}$. So

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{z_{-1} \in \mathbb{C}} \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{C}} \left((\mathfrak{F}_C \times \{z_{-1}\}) \cap \{(z_0, z_{-1}) | C = z_{-1}(z_0 + B) \} \right).$$

Notice that $(\{-B\} \times \mathbb{C}) \cap \mathfrak{F} = \emptyset$, since if $z_0 = -B$, then a simple induction argument tells us that $z_n = -B$ for all $n \in \mathbb{N}$, so there will never be division by zero in such a case. So, we may reduce the above expression as follows,

$$\mathfrak{F} = \bigcup_{C \in \mathbb{C}} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{C}{a+B} \right) | a \in \mathfrak{F}_C \setminus \{-B\} \right\}.$$

From the above reduction, and from the facts about the forbidden sets of the Riccati difference equation in Section 3, we get $\mathfrak{F} = S_6$, where S_6 is given in Figure 2.

5. Conclusion

We have introduced some new invariants for rational difference equations, including some invariants for certain cases of the second order rational difference equation of the form,

$$x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_{n-1}}{A + B x_n + C x_{n-1}}, \quad n = 0, 1, 2, \dots$$

This second order linear fractional rational difference equation has been studied extensively in the case of nonnegative parameters and nonnegative initial conditions. See [21] for more information about this case. However, the invariants we have found only apply in a region of the parameters where at least one of the parameters cannot be a nonnegative real number. For this reason, these particular examples were overlooked in [21] as well as in the subsequent literature.

What is particularly interesting about the presented cases is that we are able to use invariants we find to obtain both the forbidden set, and a closed form solution for our rational difference equations through reduction of order.

$$S_{1} = \left\{ \left(0, \frac{-1}{B}\right) \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(\frac{-1}{B} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right), \frac{-1}{B}\right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(\frac{-1}{B}, \frac{-1}{B} \left(\frac{n+1}{n}\right)\right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus [0,4]} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DBa - Ba - 1}{B^{2}a + B}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-2}{B} \left(\frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{D}}\right)^{n-1} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{D}}\right)^{n-1}}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 - \frac{4}{D}}\right)^{n}} \right) + \frac{D - 1}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in (0,4)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DBa - Ba - 1}{B^{2}a + B}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-D}{B} \left(1 - \sqrt{\frac{4}{D}} - 1 \cot\left(n \cdot \arccos\left(\frac{\sqrt{D}}{2}\right)\right)\right) + \frac{D - 1}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in (0,4)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{3Ba - 1}{B^{2}a + B}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-2}{B} \left(\frac{n - 1}{n}\right) + \frac{3}{B} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}.$$

$$S_{2} = \left\{ \left(\frac{-1}{B}, 0\right) \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(0, \frac{1}{Bn}\right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(\frac{1}{Bn}, 0\right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left((-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}) \cup \{0\}\right)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{Ba + 1}{DB^{2}a}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{2}{B} \left(\frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D}\right)^{n-1} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4D}\right)^{n-1}}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D}\right)^{n}} \right) + \frac{1}{DB} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}) \cup \{0\}} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{Ba + 1}{DB^{2}a}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-1}{2DB} \left(-1 - \sqrt{-4D - 1} \cot\left(n \cdot \arccos\left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-D}}\right)\right)\right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus (-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}) \cup \{0\}} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{-4Ba - 4}{B^{2}a}\right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-2n - 2}{Bn} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{0, \frac{-1}{B}\right\} \right\}.$$

$$S_{3} = \bigcup_{C \neq 1} \left\{ \left(\frac{B - BC^{n}}{C^{n} - C^{n+1}}, \frac{B - BC^{n+1}}{C^{n+1} - C^{n+2}} \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup \left(\left\{ 0 \right\} \times \mathbb{C} \right) \bigcup \left\{ (nB, nB + B) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

$$S_{4} = \bigcup_{C \neq 1} \left\{ \left(\frac{B - BC^{n+1}}{C - 1}, \frac{B - BC^{n+2}}{C - 1} \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \bigcup \left(\left\{ -B \right\} \times \mathbb{C} \right) \bigcup \left(\mathbb{C} \times \left\{ -B \right\} \right) \bigcup \left\{ \left(-nB - B, -nB - 2B \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\}.$$

$$S_{5} = \left\{ -B, -B \right\} \bigcup \left\{ \left(a, \frac{B^{2}}{-4a} - B \right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-Bn - B}{2n} | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \right\} \bigcup$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left((-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}] \cup \{0\} \right)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DB^{2}}{a} - B \right) | a \in \left\{ -2DB \left(\frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n-1} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n-1}}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n}} \right) - B | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{ 0 \right\} \right\}$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left((-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}] \cup \left\{ 0 \right\} \right)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DB^{2}}{a} - B \right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{B}{2} \left(-1 - \sqrt{-4D - 1} \cot \left(n \cdot \arccos \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-D}} \right) \right) \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{ 0 \right\} \right\}.$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left((-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}] \cup \left\{ 0 \right\} \right)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DB^{2}}{a + B} \right) | a \in \left\{ 2DB \left(\frac{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n-1} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n-1}}{\left(1 + \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n} - \left(1 - \sqrt{1 + 4D} \right)^{n}} \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{ -B \right\} \right\}.$$

$$\bigcup_{D \in \mathbb{C} \setminus \left((-\infty, \frac{-1}{4}) \cup \left\{ 0 \right\} \right)} \left\{ \left(a, \frac{DB^{2}}{a + B} \right) | a \in \left\{ \frac{-B}{2} \left(1 - \sqrt{-4D - 1} \cot \left(n \cdot \arccos \left(\frac{1}{2\sqrt{-D}} \right) \right) \right) | n \in \mathbb{N} \right\} \setminus \left\{ -B \right\} \right\}.$$
Figure 2.

References

- [1] E. Barbeau, B. Gelford, and S. Tanny, Periodicities of solutions of the generalized Lyness equation, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 1(1995), 291-306.
- [2] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, Global behavior of the solutions of Lyness' difference equation $u_{n+2}u_n=u_{n+1}+a$, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 11(2004), 997-1003.
- [3] G. Bastien and M. Rogalski, Global behavior of the solutions of the k-lacunary order 2k Lyness' difference equation $u_n = \frac{u_{n-k}+a}{u_{n-2k}}$ in R_*^+ and of other more general equations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 13(2007), 79-88.
- [4] E. Camouzis and G. Ladas, Dynamics of Third-Order Rational Difference Equations with Open Problems and Conjectures, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2007.
- [5] E. Camouzis and R. DeVault, The forbidden set of $x_{n+1} = p + \frac{x_{n-1}}{x_n}$, Special Session of the American Mathematical Society Meeting, Part II, San Diego, 2002.
- [6] A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa, Dynamics of rational discrete dynamical systems via first integrals, Int. J. Bifurcations and Chaos in Appl. Sci. Engrg. 16(2006), 631-645.
- [7] A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa, Global periodicity and complete integrability of discrete dynamical systems, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **12**(2006), 697-716.
- [8] A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa, Dynamics of the third order Lyness' difference equations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 13(2007), 855-884.
- [9] A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa, Studying discrete dynamical systems through differential equations, J. Differential Equations 244(2008), 630-648.
- [10] A. Cima, A. Gasull, and V. Mañosa, Some properties of the k-dimensional Lyness' map, J. Phys. A: Math. Theor. 41(2008), 285-205.
- [11] M. Dehghan, C.M. Kent, R. Mazrooei-Sebdani, N.L. Ortiz, and H. Sedaghat, Dynamics of rational difference equations containing quadratic terms, *J. Difference Equ. Appl.* **14**(2008), 191-208.
- [12] M. Gao, Y. Kato, and M. Ito, Some invariants for the kth-order Lyness equation, Appl. Math. Lett. 17(2004), 1183-1189.
- [13] E.A. Grove, E.J. Janowski, C.M. Kent, and G. Ladas, On the rational recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha x_n + \beta}{(\gamma x_n + \delta) x_{n-1}}$, Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal. 1(1994), 61-72.
- [14] E.A. Grove, Y. Kostrov, and S.W. Schultz, On Riccati difference equations with complex coefficients, Difference Equations and Applications , 195-202 Uğur-Bahçeşehir Univ. Publ. Co., Istanbul, 2009.
- [15] E.A. Grove and G. Ladas, *Periodicities in Nonlinear Difference Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2005.
- [16] E.A. Grove, G. Ladas, L.C. McGrath, and C.T. Teixeira, Existence and behavior of solutions of a rational system, *Commun. Appl. Nonlinear Anal.* 8(2001), 1-25.
- [17] D. Jogia, J.A.G. Roberts, and F. Vivaldi, An algebraic geometric approach to integrable maps of the plane, *J. Phys. A: Math. Gen.* **39**(2006), 1133-1149.
- [18] V.L. Kocic and G. Ladas, Global Behavior of Nonlinear Difference Equations of Higher Order with Applications, Kluwer Academic Publishers, Dordrecht, 1993.
- [19] V.L. Kocic, G. Ladas, and I.W. Rodrigues, On rational recursive sequences , *J. Math. Anal. Appl.* **173**(1993), 127-157.
- [20] M.R.S. Kulenović, Invariants and related Liapunov functions for difference equations, *Appl. Math. Lett.* **13**(2000), 1-8.
- [21] M.R.S. Kulenović and G. Ladas, *Dynamics of Second Order Rational Difference Equations*, Chapman & Hall/CRC Press, Boca Raton, 2002.

- [22] G. Ladas, On the recursive sequence $x_{n+1} = \frac{\alpha + \beta x_n + \gamma x_{n-1}}{A + B x_n + C x_{n-1}}$, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 1(1995), 317-321.
- [23] G. Ladas, G. Tzanetopoulos, and E. Thomas, On the stability of Lyness's Equation, *Dynamics of Continuous*, *Discrete Impulsive Syst.* 1(1995), 245-254.
- [24] G. Lugo and F.J. Palladino, Unboundedness for some classes of rational difference equations, *Int. J. Difference Equ.* 4(2009), 97-113.
- [25] R.C. Lyness, Note 1581, Math. Gaz. 26(1942), 62.
- [26] R.C. Lyness, Note 1847, Math. Gaz. 29(1945), 231.
- [27] J. Rubió-Massegú, Global periodicity and openness of the set of solutions for discrete dynamical systems, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 15(2009), 569-578.
- [28] J. Rubió-Massegú, On the existence of solutions for difference equations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 13(2007), 655-664.
- [29] H. Sedaghat, A note: all homogeneous second order difference equations of degree one have semi-conjugate factorizations, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 13(2007), 453-456.
- [30] H. Sedaghat, A note: every homogeneous difference equation of degree one admits a reduction in order, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 15(2009), 621-624.
- [31] H. Sedaghat, Existence of solutions for certain singular difference equations, J. Differ. Equations Appl. 6(2000), 535-561.
- [32] H. Sedaghat, Global behaviours of rational difference equations of orders two and three with quadratic terms, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 15(2009), 215-224.
- [33] H. Sedaghat, On third-order rational difference equations with quadratic terms, *J. Difference Equ.* Appl. 14(2008), 889-897.
- [34] H. Sedaghat, Reduction of order in difference equations by semiconjugate factorization, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **53**(2009), no. 3, 377-384.
- [35] H. Sedaghat, Reduction of order of separable second order difference equations with form symmetries, Int. J. Pure Appl. Math. 47(2008), no. 2, 155-163.
- [36] H. Sedaghat, Semiconjugate factorization of non-autonomous higher order difference equations, *Int. J. Pure Appl. Math.* **62**(2010), no. 2, 233-245.
- [37] H. Sedaghat, Semiconjugates of one-dimensional maps, J. Difference Equ. Appl. 8(2002), 649-666.
- [38] W.S. Sizer, Periodicity in the Lyness equation, Math. Sci. Res. J. 7(2003), 366-372.
- [39] W.S. Sizer, Some periodic solutions of the Lyness equation, *Proceedings of the Fifth International Conference on Difference Equations and Applications* January 3-7, 2000, Temuca, Chile, Gordon and Breach Science Publishers.
- [40] E.C. Zeeman, Geometric unfolding of a difference equation, http://www.math.utsa.edu/ecz/gu.html Unpublished paper. Hertford College, Oxford,(1996).

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, UNIVERSITY OF RHODE ISLAND, KINGSTON, RI 02881-0816, USA;

E-mail address: frank@math.uri.edu